

QUANTUM PROPERTIES OF A GENERAL PATH DEVIATION EQUATION IN THE PAP-GEOMETRY

M.I.Wanas¹

Abstract

A path deviation equation in the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP) geometry is derived. This equation includes curvature and torsion terms. These terms are found to be naturally quantized. The equation represents the deviation from a general path equation, in the PAP-geometry, derived by the author in a previous work. It is shown that, as the effect of the torsion, on the deviation, increases, the effect of the curvature decreases. It is also shown that the general path deviation equation can be reduced to the geodesic deviation equation if PAP-geometry becomes Riemannian. The equation can be used to study the deviation from the trajectories of spinning elementary particles.

1 Introduction

In the context of geometrization of physics gravity, as a physical interaction, is well studied and understood. Unfortunately, other interactions are not understood in this context, so far, but they are well described in the framework of the quantization philosophy. There is no satisfactory descriptions of gravity in the context of quantization. In general, there is no unified description, for all known physical interaction. This represents the main problem facing the scheme of unification of physics. Several authors have tackled this problem, very early in the 20th century, in the hope of giving a solution, or at least to find an avenue for a solution, of this problem. In the first half of the 20th century, the attempts of the authors, to solve this problem, were in the framework of geometrization philosophy (e.g. [1], [2], [3]). After the apparent failure of these attempts, authors rushed, in the second half of the 20th century, to the opposite direction especially after the success of unifying electromagnetic and weak interactions (e.g. [4], [5], [6]) in the context of the quantization philosophy. The success in this direction is not continuous, since quantization of gravity is still beyond the reach of researchers. It seems that a new idea or philosophy is needed to solve this problem.

Recently, the author and his collaborators [7], [8] have discovered that geometries with non-vanishing torsion possess some quantum properties. These properties appeared very naturally without applying any known quantization scheme. The first appearance of these properties is in the torsion term of a new set of path equations. In absolute parallelism (AP) geometry, this set can be represented by the following general equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{ds^2} + a^{\{\mu}_{\alpha\beta\}} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds} = -b \Lambda_{(\alpha\beta)}^{\cdot\mu} \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} \frac{dx^\beta}{ds}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{ \}$ is the Christoffel symbol of the second type and $\Lambda_{\mu\nu}^\alpha$ is the torsion of the AP-space (cf. [9]). The coefficient (a) , of Christoffel symbol term, is always one in this

¹Astronomy Department, Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt
E-mail:wanas@frcu.eun.eg

set, while the coefficient (b), of the torsion term, takes only the values $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1$ in the new set of the path equations (1.1). This is tempting to believe that paths in AP-geometry are naturally quantized. In the present work, an equation is said to be quantized if one or more of its terms do not take continuous values. More precisely, if the coefficients of such terms have jumping values, with constant step, the equation is said to be quantized or has some quantum properties. The set of equations, mentioned above, has been generalized and parameterized [10]. The parameterized path equation is suggested to represent trajectories of spinning elementary particles in a back ground gravitational field. The torsion term, in this equation, is suggested to represent a type of interaction between the torsion of the back ground field and the quantum spin of the moving particle. Experimental and observational evidences for the existence of this interactions are examined [11], [12]. Several applications and examinations of the parameterized path equations are carried out [13], [14].

The study of the quantum properties, appeared in the AP-geometry, led to the development of a version of AP-geometry called the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP) geometry [15], [16], [17] in which torsion and curvature are simultaneously non-vanishing objects. The aim of the present work is to explore the quantum properties of path deviation equation in the PAP-geometry. For this reason, in the next section we give a brief review of the PAP-geometry. In section 3 a new equation of path deviation, analogous to the equation of geodesic deviation of Riemannian geometry, is derived in PAP-geometry. The work is discussed and concluded in section 4.

2 Parameterized Absolute Parallelism Geometry

Since the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP) geometry is a developed version of the (AP) geometry, I am going first to give a brief idea about the AP-space. The AP-space is an n -dimensional manifold, each point of which is characterized by a set of n -linearly independent variables $x^\nu (\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, and at each point we define a set of n -linearly independent contravariant vectors λ_i^μ ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ denotes the vector number, and $\mu = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ denotes the coordinate components)². The covariant form of these vectors, λ_μ , are defined such that,

$$\lambda_i^\mu \lambda_\mu = \delta_i^\mu, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lambda_i^\mu \lambda_j^\mu = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.2)$$

Using these vectors, one can define the following second order symmetric tensors

$$g_{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_\mu \lambda_\nu, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^\mu \lambda_i^\nu. \quad (2.4)$$

²In this paper, we are going to use Latin indices to denote vector numbers and Greek indices to denote coordinate components. Summation convention is used whatever the location of indices. We are not going to raise or lower Latin indices.

The tensor given by (2.3) can be used as a metric tensor of the Riemannian space, associated with the AP-space, when needed. The condition of Absolute Parallelism,

$$\lambda_{i+|\nu}^{\mu} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

implies the definition of the non-symmetric connection,

$$\Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_{\mu,\nu}^i. \quad (2.6)$$

Since this connection is non-symmetric, one can define three types of tensor derivatives. The first is defined by using $\Gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$, and is denoted by a stroke and a (+) sign (like (2.5)). The second is defined by using the dual connection $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} (= \Gamma_{\cdot\nu\mu}^{\alpha})$ and is denoted by a stroke and a (-) sign, and the third is defined by using the symmetric part $\Gamma_{\cdot(\mu\nu)}^{\alpha}$ and is denoted by a stroke. Of course one can add to these 3-derivatives a 4th one, the covariant derivative, by using Christoffel symbol defined using (2.3), (2.4) and denoted, as usual, by a semicolon (;). The use of these derivatives was a direct reason for discovering the quantum properties in the new set of paths (1.1) [7].

The quantum properties discovered in the AP-geometry is due to the fact that this geometry admits a number of affine connections. Introducing more affine connections in the AP-geometry we always get the same quantum properties [8]. So, in order to extend these properties throughout the geometry and to be sure that these properties are generic features of the AP-geometry, the author got the idea of parameterizing the AP-geometry which gives a new version called the PAP-geometry [10], [15]. This version of the AP-geometry is characterized by a general connection derived by, linearly, combining the above mentioned affine connections using four different parameters. The resulting object is not in general an affine connection, unless we impose a metricity condition,

$$g_{\mu\nu||\sigma} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

which reduces the 4-parameters to one. The double stroke characterizes tensor derivatives using the resulting general connection $\nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$. In other words, (2.7) cannot be satisfied unless the general connection is given by,

$$\nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} = \{\alpha_{\mu\nu}\} + b \gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha}, \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$\gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda_i^{\alpha} \lambda_{\mu,\nu}^i. \quad (2.9)$$

For some reasons [10], [15] the parameter b is suggested to take the value,

$$b = \frac{n}{2} \alpha \gamma, \quad (2.10)$$

where n is a natural number, $\alpha (= \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \simeq \frac{1}{137})$ is the fine structure constant and γ is a dimensionless parameter to be fixed by experiment or observation. The type of geometry constructed using the parameterized connection (2.8) is called the Parameterized Absolute Parallelism (PAP) geometry. In what follows we are going to give some of the main features of this geometry.

It can be easily shown that (2.8) is an affine connection under the group of general coordinate transformations. It is clear that $\nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha$ is non-symmetric and consequently implies a non-vanishing (parameterized) torsion defined by,

$$\Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu}^{*\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha - \nabla_{\cdot\nu\mu}^\alpha = b\Lambda_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\alpha, \quad (2.11)$$

from which we can define the parameterized vector,

$$C_{\cdot\mu}^{*\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Lambda_{\cdot\mu\alpha}^{*\alpha} = bC_{\cdot\mu}^\alpha. \quad (2.12)$$

The curvature tensor, corresponding to the connection (2.8), can be defined as

$$B_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^{*\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \nabla_{\cdot\mu\sigma,\nu}^\alpha - \nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu,\sigma}^\alpha + \nabla_{\cdot\mu\sigma}^\epsilon \nabla_{\cdot\epsilon\nu}^\alpha - \nabla_{\cdot\mu\nu}^\epsilon \nabla_{\cdot\epsilon\sigma}^\alpha, \quad (2.13)$$

which is clearly a non-vanishing tensor. This curvature is a parameterized one which can be written in the alternative form

$$B_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^{*\alpha} = R_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^\alpha + b Q_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^{*\alpha}, \quad (2.14)$$

where $R_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^\alpha$ is the Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor built from Christoffel symbol, as usual, and

$$Q_{\cdot\mu\nu\sigma}^{*\alpha} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_{\cdot++|\nu}^{+\mu\sigma} - \gamma_{\cdot+-|\sigma}^{+\mu\nu} + b (\gamma_{\cdot\mu\nu}^i \gamma_{\cdot i\sigma}^\alpha - \gamma_{\cdot\mu\sigma}^i \gamma_{\cdot i\nu}^\alpha), \quad (2.15)$$

which is also a parameterized tensor.

The general path equation, corresponding to the connection (2.8), is also parameterized and is written in the form,

$$\frac{dZ^\mu}{d\tau} + \{\mu_{\alpha\beta}\} Z^\alpha Z^\beta = -b \Lambda_{(\alpha\beta)}^{\cdot\mu} Z^\alpha Z^\beta, \quad (2.16)$$

where $Z^\mu (= \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau})$ is a contravariant vector, tangent to the path (2.16) and τ is the evolution parameter characterizing this path.

It is to be noted that the general affine connection admitted by PAP-geometry, (2.8), gives rise to, simultaneously, non-vanishing torsion (2.11) and curvature (2.13). It can be shown [13] that Riemannian geometry and the conventional AP-geometry can be obtained from the PAP-geometry as special cases, corresponding to $b = 0$ and $b = 1$, respectively.

3 Equation of Path Deviation

Bazanski [18], [19] has suggested a method to derive the geodesic and geodesic deviation equation from one Lagrangian of the form,

$$L_B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{\mu\nu} U^\mu \frac{D\psi^\nu}{Ds}, \quad (3.1)$$

where U^μ is a unit tangent to the geodesic, ψ^ν is the deviation vector and s is the evaluation parameter varying along the geodesic. The derivative of the deviation vector,

given in (3.1), can be related to the covariant derivative using Christoffel symbol, as usually done, as

$$\frac{D\psi^\nu}{Ds} = \psi^\nu_{;\alpha} U^\alpha. \quad (3.2)$$

Bazanski [18] has shown that varying (3.1) with respect to the deviation vector, one obtains the geodesic equation, while varying the same Lagrangian (3.1) with respect to the tangent vector U^μ , one can obtain the geodesic deviation equation.

Now, in what follows we are going to examine the consequences of replacing the covariant derivative in (3.2) by the tensor derivative constructed using the parameterized connection (2.8), in order to derive the corresponding path deviation equation. The parameterized Lagrangian can be written in the form,

$$L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_{\mu\nu} Z^\mu \frac{D\chi^\nu}{D\tau}, \quad (3.3)$$

where,

$$\frac{D\chi^\nu}{D\tau} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \chi^\nu_{;\parallel\alpha} Z^\alpha, \quad (3.4)$$

and $Z^\mu (\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{dz^\mu}{d\tau})$ is the tangent to the path (2.16), τ is its evolution parameter and χ^α is a vector representing the deviation from the general path (2.16).

Recalling the condition (2.7), we can write (3.3) in the form

$$L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z^\mu \frac{d\chi_\mu}{d\tau} - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\nu} \chi_\alpha Z^\mu Z^\nu. \quad (3.5)$$

It has been shown that performing the variation on (3.5) w.r.t. the deviation vector, the author got [10] the general path equation (2.16). Now performing the variation, on the same Lagrangian, with respect to the vector Z^σ , we get the following results:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial Z^\sigma} = \frac{d\chi_\sigma}{d\tau} - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \chi_\alpha Z^\nu - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\sigma} \chi_\alpha Z^\mu, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial Z^\sigma} \right) &= \frac{d^2 \chi_\sigma}{d\tau^2} - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\sigma\nu,\rho} Z^\rho \chi_\alpha Z^\nu - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \frac{d\chi_\alpha}{d\tau} Z^\nu \\ &\quad - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \chi_\alpha \frac{dZ^\nu}{d\tau} - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\sigma,\rho} Z^\rho \chi_\alpha Z^\mu \\ &\quad - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\sigma} \frac{d\chi_\alpha}{d\tau} Z^\mu - \nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\sigma} \chi_\alpha \frac{dZ^\mu}{d\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\sigma} = -\nabla^\alpha_{\cdot\mu\nu,\sigma} \chi_\alpha Z^\mu Z^\nu. \quad (3.8)$$

Now substituting from (3.7) and (3.8) into the Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial Z^\sigma} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\sigma} = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

and making use of the general path equation (2.16), we get after somewhat long manipulations,

$$\frac{D^2\chi_\sigma}{D\tau^2} + B^{\star\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} Z^\mu Z^\nu \chi_\alpha = -b \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \frac{D\chi_\alpha}{D\tau} Z^\nu, \quad (3.10)$$

where $B^{\star\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu}$ is the parameterized curvature defined by (2.13). This is the parameterized path deviation equation, in which the torsion term on its R.H.S. is explicitly parameterized while the curvature term is implicitly parameterized via (2.14).

4 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

In what follows, we are going to show that the general path deviation equation will reduce to the geodesic deviation equation in the case of Riemannian geometry. Let the parameterized path deviation equation (3.10) be written, using (2.14), in the form:

$$\frac{D^2\chi_\sigma}{D\tau^2} + (R^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} + b Q^{\star\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu}) Z^\mu \chi_\alpha Z^\nu = -b \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \frac{D\chi_\alpha}{D\tau} Z^\nu. \quad (4.1)$$

The case of Riemannian geometry could be achieved upon taking $b = 0$ [10] then we get ($\tau \longrightarrow s, \chi_\sigma \longrightarrow \psi_\sigma, Z^\mu \longrightarrow U^\mu$)

$$\frac{D^2\psi_\sigma}{Ds^2} + R^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} U^\mu \psi_\alpha U^\nu = 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Now using the symmetries of Riemann-Christoffel curvature tensor, $R^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu}$, and recalling that raising and lowering tensor indices commute with covariant differential operator we can write,

$$\frac{D^2\psi^\sigma}{Ds^2} = R^{\sigma}_{\cdot\nu\mu\alpha} U^\mu \psi^\alpha U^\nu, \quad (4.3)$$

which is the well known geodesic deviation equation of Riemannian geometry.

On the other hand, the case of the conventional AP-geometry corresponds to the value of the parameter $b = 1$ [10]. Substituting this value into equation (4.1) we get ($\tau \longrightarrow s^+, \chi_\sigma \longrightarrow \xi_\sigma, Z^\mu \longrightarrow V^\mu$)

$$\frac{D^2\xi_\sigma}{Ds^{+2}} + M^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} V^\mu \xi_\alpha V^\nu = -\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \frac{D\xi_\alpha}{Ds^+} V^\nu, \quad (4.4)$$

where $M^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu}$ is the curvature tensor corresponding to the connection (2.6) and is given by,

$$M^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} + Q^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu}, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$Q^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma\nu} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\nu}{}^{+}_{\cdot++|\sigma} - \gamma^{\alpha}_{\cdot\mu\sigma}{}^{+}_{\cdot+-|\nu} + \gamma^{\epsilon}_{\cdot\mu\sigma} \gamma^{\alpha}_{\cdot\epsilon\nu} - \gamma^{\epsilon}_{\cdot\mu\nu} \gamma^{\alpha}_{\cdot\sigma\epsilon}. \quad (4.6)$$

It is well known (cf. [20]) that the tensor (4.5) vanishes identically because of the AP-condition (2.5). Thus the equation of path deviation in the conventional AP-geometry (4.4), can be written in the form:

$$\frac{D^2\xi_\sigma}{Ds^{+2}} = -\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\cdot\sigma\nu} \frac{D\xi_\alpha}{Ds^+} V^\nu. \quad (4.7)$$

Recalling that, because of the AP- condition (2.5) and definitions (2.3) and (2.4), raising and lowering tensor indices commute with the tensor differential operator using the connection (2.6). Then (4.7) can be written as

$$\frac{D^2 \xi^\sigma}{Ds^{+2}} = \Lambda_{\alpha\nu}^{\cdot\sigma} \frac{D\xi^\alpha}{Ds^+} V^\nu, \quad (4.8)$$

which is the equation of the path deviation of the conventional AP-geometry [21]. It is to be considered that equations (4.3) and (4.8) represents the two extremes of the parameterized equation (3.10). In the case of (4.3) the deviation depends only on the curvature tensor, since the torsion is vanishing in Riemannian geometry; while in the case of (4.8) the deviation depends only on the torsion, since the curvature corresponding to (2.6) vanishes. Between these two extremes, the deviation depends on both curvature and torsion with the quantum steps of the parameter b given by (2.10). The increase of the dependence on the torsion corresponds to a decrease of the dependence on the curvature and vice-versa. This shows how wide is the PAP-geometry [13], [17].

The quantum properties of the AP-geometry appeared, very naturally i.e. without imposing any condition, in the torsion term of the path equations [7]. The parameterization of this geometry is carried out to extend these properties to other geometric objects [10], [15]. Thus parameterization means, in some sense, quantization. From the application point of view, the value of the parameter (b), given by (2.10), depends on the spin of the moving particle [10]. Spin-less particle ($n = 0 \longrightarrow b = 0$) will move along a geodesic and the deviation will depend on the curvature only, as clear from (4.1). So, such particle will feel the full curvature of the space, $R_{\mu\nu\sigma}^\alpha$, without any interaction with torsion. Consequently, one can conclude that the dynamics of such a particle can be studied in the context of Riemannian geometry. This is not because Riemannian geometry has a vanishing torsion, but because of the vanishing spin of such a particle.

One may jump to the conclusion that the quantum properties appeared in the path deviation (3.10) are direct consequences of parameterization scheme carried out in the AP-geometry. In general this is not true [21]. It is shown that path deviation equations, in AP-geometry, are naturally quantized, from a qualitative point of view. The PAP-geometry is a modified version of the AP-geometry constructed in order to build a geometry that can be used to study, quantitatively and qualitatively, physical phenomena with quantum properties.

References

- [1] Einstein, A. (1930) Math. Annal. **102**, 685.
- [2] Schrodinger, E. (1947) Proc. Roy. Irish Acad., A **51**, 163
- [3] Weyl, H. (1950) Phys. Rev., **2**, 699.
- [4] Weinberg, S. (1967) Phys. Rev., **2**, 699.
- [5] Salam, A. (1968) In "*Elementary Particle Theory*" ed. Svartholm, W., Almquist and Wiskell. Stockholm.
- [6] Glashow, S. L. (1961) Nucl. Phys. **22**, 579.
- [7] Wanas, M.I., Melek, M. and Kahil, M.E. (1995) Astrophys. Space Sci. **228**, 273,

- gr-qc/0207113.
- [8] Wanas, M.I. and Kahil, M.E. (1999) Gen. Rel. Grav., **31**, 1921; gr-qc/9912007.
 - [9] Wanas, M.I. (2001) Proc. 11th Nat.Conf. "*Fainsler, Lagrange and Hamilton Geometry*" Bacau 2000, Stud.Cercet.Stiin.Ser. 10, 297, eds V.Blanute and Gh.Neagu ; gr-qc/0209050.
 - [10] Wanas, M.I. (1998) Astrophys. Space Sci. **258**, 237; gr-qc/9904019.
 - [11] Wanas, M.I., Melek, M. and Kahil, M.E. (2000) Gravit. Cosmol. **6**, 319; gr-qc/9812085.
 - [12] Wanas, M.I., Melek, M. and Kahil, M.E. (2002) Proc. MG IX, vol.**2**, 1100; gr-qc/0306086.
 - [13] Wanas ,M.I. (2003) Algebras, Groups and Geometries, **20**, 345a.
 - [14] Sousa, A.A. and Maluf, J.W. (2004) Gen.Rel. Grav. **36**, 967; gr-qc/0310131.
 - [15] Wanas M.I. (2000) Turk. J. Phys. **24**, 473; gr-qc/0010099.
 - [16] Wanas, M.I. (2002) Proc. MG IX, vol. **2**, 1303.
 - [17] Wanas, M.I. (2003) Proc. XXV Int. Workshop "*Fundamental Problems of H.E.Physics*", 315, held in Protvino, Russia, 22-28 June 2002.
 - [18] Bazanski, S.L. (1977) Ann. Instit. H. Poincare, **A27**, 145.
 - [19] Bazanski, S.L. (1989) J. Math. Phys. **30**, 1018.
 - [20] Møller, C. (1961) Math. Fys. Skr. Dan. Vid. Selsk, **1**, 1.
 - [21] Wanas, M.I. and Kahil, M.E. (2004) to appear in Proc. MG X.